



Discussion: Your priorities for the SM product in climate data service

The Workshop Participants

Richard Kidd (Earth Observation Data Centre for Water Resources Monitoring (EODC) GmbH)



Presentations

- We intend to publish them on line so you can access them after today
- If you have unpublished sensitive results – please inform us – (Felix Ortag, CC Wouter) and we will just publish the title slide.



Aim of discussion

- Identify your priorities for product improvements and underlying reasoning behind request
- Confirm that “hot list” of issues is complete
- Identify potential solutions to enable product improvement

- Prioritise Issues according to consensus (MoSCoW)

Priority	Description
MUST-HAVE	Essential for operational capability; MUST have this.
SHOULD-HAVE	Important, but may be fully met in later releases; SHOULD have this if at all possible.
COULD-HAVE	Non-essential, nice-to-have feature; COULD have this if it does not affect anything else.
WONT-HAVE	WON'T have this time but WOULD like this in the future.



What are your priorities for Soil Moisture Climate Data Services – and why??

What did users like?

Long time series!

Well error-characterised

Direct observation of soil water

Largely independent from models and forcing

.... Tell us what you've liked

What should be improved?

- More recent data
- Changing characteristics over time
- Spatial and temporal data gaps

→ Reducing errors

- No observation of root zone
- Layer depth not exactly defined

→ Dependency of absolute values on LSM

.... And how to address this?

.... And what else should be improved ?

What are **your** priorities



Hot list .. Is it complete

- Higher Spatial Resolution
- Higher temporal resolution (sub daily?)
- Filling Data Gaps
- Improving Product Accuracy
- Improving Blending Methods
- Improved Temporal Consistency
- Shorter Latency between data acquisition and product availability
- Independence of LSM's
- Creation of Subsidy Variables Freeze Thaw/VOD
- Creation of Root Zone Soil Moisture Product



GCOS 200 (2016) priorities

Table 25. Terrestrial ECV product requirements

Terrestrial ECV product requirements

ECV	Products	Frequency	Resolution	Required measurement uncertainty	Stability (per decade unless otherwise specified)	Standards/ References	Entity (see Part II, section 2.2) ¹⁰³	
							Satellite	In Situ
Soil moisture	Surface soil moisture	Daily	1–25 km	0.04 m ³ /m ³	0.01 m ³ /m ³ /year	WMO (2008(b))	WGClimate	ISMN
	Freeze/thaw	Daily	1–25 km	90 %	TBD		WGClimate	ISMN
	Surface inundation	Daily	1–25 km	90 %	TBD			ISMN
	Root-zone soil moisture	Daily	1–25 km	0.04 m ³ /m ³	0.01 m ³ /m ³ /year			ISMN

GCOS identifies:

- Higher spatial resolution
- Subsidy Variables to better characterise quality of Surface Soil Moisture
 - Freeze Thaw
 - Surface Inundation
 - Root Zone Soil Moisture
 - VOD (?)
- Absolute measure of soil moisture.



Hot list Priorities

ISSUE	PRIORITY
Higher Spatial Resolution	C
Filling Data Gaps	M/S/C/W
Improving Product Accuracy	M/S/C/W
Improving Blending Methods	M/S/C/W
Improving Temporal Consistency	M/S/C/W
Shorter Latency	M/S/C/W
Independence of LSM's	M/S/C/W
Creation of Root Zone Soil Moisture Product	S/C
Creation of Subsidy Variables Freeze Thaw/VOD	M/S/C/W
Higher Temporal Resolution – sub daily resolution	M/S/C/W
Better Communication of product characteristics to users	M



Areas to be addressed: Issues Identified (1)

- **Higher Spatial Resolution** – to serve more regional applications – i.e. desert areas (Middle East)
- What is feasible for the future (RdJ) – twds 100m product – but not suitable for climate product – possible 1 to 10km
- (WW) – Second Generation Met Sats will provide 6 to 7km products – but unlikely to reach higher than 1km resolution.
- (JCC) – consider the aim of the product (ECV climate modelling) – 25km is a reasonable resolution
- **Filling Data Gaps** – difficulties with intermittent data – do gaps less than a few days really matter? – interpolation – could be good – but how is it done? (RdJ) can't make up data – can't compensate for frozen areas etc where observations can't be made.



- **Improved Product Accuracy:**
 - Improve Modelling of Vegetation Effects
 - Improve Subsurface Scattering Effects
 - Better Quantification of actual Soil depths sampled by different microwave frequencies
 - Improved Characterisation of sub daily behaviour of soil and canopy moisture
 - Application of De-noising methods
 - Improve characterisation of product in Space and Time
 - Improve communication on product characteristics to Users
 - Provide Error Estimates at the level of observation
 - Better physical understanding of observation
 - Better suite of tools to help users understand/interpret errors or characterisation of the product



Areas to be addressed: Issues Identified (2)

- **Improve Blending Methods**
 - Optimal Trade off between increased temporal/spatial coverage and maintaining acceptable data quality
 - Assess Errors and Merge datasets at different temporal scales
 - Alternative Merging approaches:
 - Machine Learning
 - Data Assimilation Frameworks
- **Improve Temporal Consistency**
 - Assessing impacts of changing configuration of sensors
 - Assess impacts of changes in data quality
 - Assess impact of spatial or temporal coverage



Areas to be addressed: Issues Identified (3)

- **Shorter Latency between data acquisition and product availability**
 - Monitoring Services – data available after a few days
 - Operational Forecasting (i.e. flood) require NRT availability (how ever you wish to define NRT)
- **Independence of LSM's**
 - Currently Scale Against GLDAS
- **Creation of Root Zone Soil Moisture Product**
 - Better linking soil moisture variability to ecosystem and agricultural drought dynamics or hydrological modelling
 - Understanding that the product will not be model independent
 - Assimilation – yes – but overarching over different ECV's (JCC), also for gap filling (CA)
 - (CR) why bother.. Without very good soil information. Too many assumptions about the soil – no horizon information



- **Higher Spatial Resolution**
- **Filling Data Gaps**
 - Use of additional Sensors – L band
- **Improving Product Accuracy**
 - Denoising
 - Improve Merging
 - Improve Characterisation - Inhomogeneity testing and correction
- **Improving Blending Methods**



Please retain: What are major strengths and weaknesses?

What did users like?

Long time series!

Well error-characterised

Direct observation of soil water

Largely independent from models and forcing

What should be improved?

→ More recent data

→ Changing characteristics over time

→ Spatial and temporal data gaps

→ Reducing errors

→ No observation of root zone
→ Layer depth not exactly defined

→ Dependency of absolute values on LSM

What can be done?

→ Copernicus Climate Change Service (C3S) soil moisture

→ Inhomogeneity testing and correction

→ Use of additional sensors, use of L-band data

→ Denoising, improved merging

→ Assimilation into LSM, Soil Water index

→ Using L-band observations as scaling reference